

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/354,302	07/16/1999	CHRISTOPHER K. MORZANO	M4065.0176/P	4970
24998	7590 . 08/27/2003			•
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			EXAMINER	
	2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526		LUU, AN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2816	
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		lon_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer:	09/354,302	MORZANO, CHRISTOPHER K.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	An T. Luu	2816			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, n y within the statutory minimum vill apply and will expire SIX (6 , cause the application to becc	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	luly 2003 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowations closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposition of Claims		<u> </u>			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56 and 82-98</u> is/are pending in the	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) 38-56 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-20,23-33,36-37,82-98</u> is/are re)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-20,23-33,36-37,82-98</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,10,21,22,34 and 35</u> is/are objected	☑ Claim(s) <u>9,10,21,22,34 and 35</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiremen	t.			
Application Papers	,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		by the Everiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception to the	,	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		·			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	l.			
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	•				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •				
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:			
0.00					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 16-19, 82 and 86-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Makihara et al. (U.S. Patent 5,243,573).

Makihara et al discloses in figure 2 an apparatus comprising a first and second complementary clock signal input/output lines (line connecting N4 and transistor 29, and N5 and transistor 30) for receiving first and second complementary clock input signals and transmitting first and second complementary clock input signals (at nodes N4 and N5 by virtue of a latch made up by transistors 24-27); first (24,26) and second (25,27) inverters each having an input and an output, wherein the input of the first inverter connected to the output of the second inverter and to the first clock signal input/output line and the input of the second inverter connected to the output of the first inverter and to the second clock signal input/output line as required by claim 1. It is noted that limitation "wherein the first...the complementary clock input signals." Is seen as inherent. See detail explanation in *Response to Arguments* below.

As to claim 2, figure 2 shows an enable circuit (12 and 17) for receiving an enable signal and enabling or disabling the first and second inverters in response to the enable signal (SE, /SE).

As to claims 3-5, figure 2 shows a first voltage source (+V) coupled to the first and second inverters by means of enable signal (/SE) via P-channel transistor 12; and a second

Art Unit: 2816

voltage source (GRD) coupled to the first and second inverters by means of the inverted enable signal (SE) via N-channel transistor. It is inherent that there exists an enable inverter for inverting the enable signal since SE and /SE are complementary signal. For simplicity, the enable inverter is not shown in the figure.

As to claims 6-7, the scopes of these claims are similar to those of claims 4 and 5. It is noted that the first and second voltages are Ground and V+, respectively.

As to claims 14-15, these claims recite a structure as shown in figure 3 of the instant application. These claims are rejected since transistor 24-27, 12 and 17 in figure 2 of Makihara are configured exactly as required by the claims (fig 3 of Applicant).

The scopes of claims 16-19 are similar to those of claims 3-7 and 15. Therefore, they are rejected for the similar reasons set forth above.

Claims 82 and 86-90 are rejected as being directed to the method or/and steps derived from the apparatus described in claims 1-8 and 16-19 noted above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8, 11-13, 20, 23-33, 36-37, 83-85 and 91-98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Makihara et al. (U.S. Patent 5,243,573) in view of the Garcia reference (5,949,259).

Art Unit: 2816

Makihara et al discloses all the claimed limitations except for having a first and second buffer or driver circuits coupled to the first and second complementary clock signal input/output lines as required by claims 8, 11 and 20. First, it is noted that buffer and driver have the same meaning in the field of electrical circuitry. Secondly and lastly, Official Notice is taken for the fact that a driver circuit coupling to a signal line. It is notoriously well known in the art that a driver circuit is used for re-shaping (i.e., delaying, amplifying) a signal to a particular desired form suitable for further processing. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate a driver circuit into the input/output line taught by Makihara et al to achieve a desirable form of signal that meets the requirement of a particular application.

As to claims 12 and 13, the Garcia reference discloses in figure 6 a driver circuit comprising at least a first and a second driver inverter (202; P4 and N4) connected in series as required by claim 12; and a third inverter (P2,N3) wherein the third inverter and the series connected inverters have the same input and the output of the third inverter connected to a device N1 such that the output of the series connected inverters is set to a predetermined voltage (Vo). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to select a driver circuit taught by Garcia because the skilled artisans will easily recognize that a driver circuit can be implemented in many different ways in the art, one of such way is as shown in the Garcia for controlling a slew-rate of an output buffer circuit. Selecting one of the known designs is seen as design expedient depending upon the particular requirement of the application. Such a selection would improve the teaching of Makihara without departing from the scope and spirit of his invention.

The scopes of claims 23-25 are similar to those of claims 11-13. Therefore, they are rejected for the similar reasons set forth above.

Art Unit: 2816

The scopes of claims 26 and 27-33 are similar to those of claims 11 and 2-8, respectively. Therefore, they are rejected for the similar reasons set forth above.

The scopes of claims 36-37 are similar to those of claims 12-13. Therefore, they are rejected for the similar reason set forth above.

Claims 83-85 and 91-98 are rejected as being directed to the method or/and steps derived from the apparatus described in claims 8, 11-13 and 23-33 noted above.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 7-28-03 have been fully considered but they are not entirely persuasive.

The rejection of claims under 35 USC 112, second paragraph is withdrawn.

Regarding the rejections under 35 USC 102 and 103 by Makihara, Applicant has argued "Makihara senses the difference between data signal and a reference data signal and outputs the recognized signal and its complement on two output lines. No skew adjustment is conducted in the disclosed circuit" wherein "the present invention of the instant application receives tow complementary clock signals as inputs, and adjusts them to reduce the skew between them."

Examiner respectfully disagrees with the above assertions for the following reasons:

Signal at node N4 is seen to be an input of inverter comprising transistors 25 and 27. It can also be seen as an output of inverter comprising transistors 24 and 26. Signal at node N5 is seen to be an output of inverter comprising transistors 25 and 27. It can also be seen as an input of inverter comprising transistors 24 and 26. These two inverters are in serial looping connection, also known as anti-

Art Unit: 2816

parallel connection. Therefore, signals at nodes N4 and N5 must be complementary signals.

Term "clock signal" is not explicitly disclosed in Makihara. However, the voltage at node N4 is seen to be swung between high voltage level (+V) and ground. Therefore, the signal provided at node N4 meets the definition of "clock signal" as disclosed in specification of the instant application (page 1, lines 9-12). Office Action indicates that signal at node N4 qualifies to be called clock signal by means of signal /BE. Signal /BE was not and is not considered to be a clock signal.

"[S]kew adjustment" is seen as inherent because Makihara discloses each and every components as well as their interconnection as required by claim 1.

Application is well aware, if the entire structure of the claimed invention is met by prior art, by necessity the functional limitations of the claim will also inherently be met. Therefore, the apparatus of Makihara must operate and provide the same result as the apparatus recited in claim 1 of the instant application. If Applicant still believes that there is different functionality between his invention and the prior art, then there must be a corresponding structure difference to provide said functionality that will distinguish the present invention over the prior art. However, no such element or connection has been recited in the claim.

Art Unit: 2816

Regarding the rejections of claim under 35 USC 103 by Makihara in view of Garcia,

Applicant has argued Garcia does not teach or suggest the input of complementary clock signals.

Examiner respectfully believes the above assertion irrelevant since Garcia teaches a buffer being incorporated onto a signal line. It is indifferent with respect to the type of signal line (i.e., clock, data, or complementary signal).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 38-56 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 9-10, 21-22 and 34-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: see the previous Office Action.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to An T. Luu whose telephone number is 703-308-4922. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 703-308-4876. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2816

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

An T. Luu

8-22-03

TIMOTHY P. CALLAHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800